ENF-024/FRM-025: Notice of Criminal Complicity Proved by Sheriff's Posting of Fake and Fraudulent "Notice-to-Vacate". October 15, 2025

[For a definition of the term "Crim." used to qualify all men/women and entities mentioned in this Notice, see Note 1 at the end.]

- 1. All right, this is now 1:04 AM on October 15th, 2025, Wednesday and sometime between 3 and 4:50 PM this afternoon [Oct 14, 2025] this "notice" that we see through this door called a Sheriff's "Notice-to-Vacate" was posted here by some person or persons. And because I don't want to leave it taped outside overnight, given all the possibilities that somebody may remove it and all the machinations that have already been evident, the machinations that have been going on from all involved, including the so-called "initiating party" that's fatally disqualified, including the forum that is also fatally disqualified for its lack of neutrality and complicity with the party. And now [including] the Sheriff's Office that is also fatally disqualified [for the crimes it has committed just in the act of posting this so-called "notice", not counting earlier crimes and gross-disregard of duty and their binding and governing statutes].
- 2. And all of these have disqualified themselves by violating all of the statutes, governing statutes, their governing statutes, laws, requirements, rules, protocols, procedures, processes, regulations, codes and more. [For example, see the Public Notices page, and Notices FRM-001 through FRM-014 to the forum therein and Notices FRM-015 and FRM-015A served to the forum via Dept 511 on Sep. 18, 2025, and Sep. 20, 2025, respectively and served via Certified Mail on Sep. 19, 2025, at 8;49AM. Also see Notices ENF-009, ENF-011, ENF-017, ENF-018, ENF-015FvE, ENF-016FvE, ENF-019, ENF-021, ENF-022FvE that were also directed at the forum in addition to the Sheriff's Office and served to the forum via the public email address for "case" matters Dept511's email address.]
- 3. But I want to make sure that I bring it [the papers taped outside my main door] inside. So I wanted to make this quick video to document that.
- 4. So first of all, let me show you: this is the corridor that leads up to this door and there is already a Notice here. A DO NOT TRESPASS Notice along with the Commercial Terms and a Notice specifically to the Sheriff's Office, which says that any man [or entity] is liable for their actions. So this [Sheriff's "Notice-to-Vacate"] was put here today, apparently, by a [Crim.] "deputy" J. Cisneros, Badge Number 1450.
- 5. So at least he is now criminally liable for what he did. Because, as we'll see later, this [Sheriff's "Notice-to-Vacate"] "notice" is completely baseless because it doesn't have,

even as far as wrong "writs"s go, even as far as the criminally-issued "writ" goes — which itself would be legally, lawfully, and completely every which way baseless—they have not even attached that baseless "writ." They have attached an unfiled copy of an EJ-130 that is in the "case" file, but is not the filed copy that is on record with the forum itself. The copy here is an unfiled copy, signed by a [Crim.] "Deputy Clerk", Melanie Williams, dated September 12th, 2025, whereas the operative criminally-issued "writ", even as far as "writs" go, is one issued by, signed by [Crim.] "Deputy Clerk" J. Rose [Joshua W. Rose], dated August 29th, 2025, and is the one that was electronically filed on September 12th, 2025 at 9:35:12 AM as per the "court's" records.

- 6. So the Sheriff's Office deemed it appropriate to leave a "Notice-to-Vacate" with absolutely no "writ." So that means this "notice" is as good as junk paper, because the "writ" it has attached is not a "valid" "writ." Even as far as [criminally-issued] "writs" go, if you forget absolutely everything else in the last four-and-a-half-months and focus, just for a moment, on the validity of this "notice" that has been issued under [Crim.] "Sheriff" Sanchez's signature.
- 7. It's great example of the criminal conduct and the collusion between the forum and the Sheriff's Office and the so-called [initiating] party" in question, and the criminal conspiracy and complicity that is evident here in the actions that are here. So we will analyze that "writ" [separately] later and send that off as information [Notices] to the forum, the Sheriff's Office, informing them of their criminal actions/crimes.
- 8. As a matter of record, I just want to record that and also want to record the fact that even when this gentleman was walking up the driveway and coming up to this point, right here on this pillar, as you see, is a whole another set of Notices. Actually, let me get my flashlight so we can see everything and it'll be a little bit clearer. I don't want to leave any room for doubt. So let's go out again.
- 9. So there's at least 15 feet between this steel mesh-door and the main door as you can see and clearly the no trespass [DO NOT TRESPASS] Notices are on the side. Now let's look here. That's my car. That's the street. Okay, that's the curb.
- 10. You have to walk about 30 feet to get to this pillar here. And there's four Notices here. Let me put this down. There's a Notice to Gratia Realty. There's a Notice of Harm Against Unlawful Enforcement that is directed to the Sheriff's Office, it particularly mentions the Sheriff's Office.
- 11. There is a clearly marked, "DO NOT TRESPASS" Notice and the Commercial Terms that somebody is agreeing to. Sorry, let me see this. When they pass this [the] "Do Not Trespass" Notice and these Commercial Terms are the very same commercial terms that are here and clearly visible [in the walkway to the main door, which main door

the [Sheriff's "Notice-to-Vacate" was posted on] And the Sheriff's Notice [our Notice to the Sheriff] outside is very much the same as the Sheriff's Notice [our Notice to the Sheriff] here [in the approx 10 feet walkway leading up to the main door]. And both these Notices have already been served to [Crim.] "Sheriff" Sanchez on July 24th, 2025, and [have been] mentioned in multiple Notices thereafter.

- 12. So there is no excuse for the Sheriff's Office to not know what they are doing or not know that there are several pieces of evidence and facts that have been made available to them in over 22 (TWENTY TWO) Notices that they should have looked at, but they didn't and decided to continue with their fraud. [Notices ENF-001 through ENF-022FvE, served on [Crim.] "Sheriff" Sanchez, [Crim.] "Deputy" S. White, and the Sheriff's Office, since July 24, 2025.]
- 13. So, I have taken out this "notice" [the so-called Sheriff's "Notice-to-Vacate"], which has the first page that says "Notice to Vacate, it says the eviction address but funnily enough at the top, it says "To" and "Name" and "Address" and it doesn't name me. It only says OCCUPANT. Why would it do that? When it's very clear that I am, supposedly, the named "defendant" and all communication so far has come in my name [or sent in my name or addressed to "All Occupants"].
- 14. Why would the "notice" of "eviction", which is the most critical document, when you're trying to effect an "eviction", not actually name who needs to be evicted?
- 15. There are multiple occupants at this facility, as has been very clearly made aware, both to the Sheriff's Office and the forum, through over 20 (TWENTY) Notices, pretty much each at this point, between what was sent to [served on] "Sheriff" Sanchez and her Office and what was sent to [served on] the forum and they were each CC'd on each other's Notices, precisely so that there is no deniability and precisely so there could be no collusion.
- 16. But, of course, that doesn't seem to have prevented the collusion from happening because this is clearly the result of that collusion as it doesn't have a properly stamped "writ" attached. How do we know that? We know that because here it is. This is the EJ-130 that is dated September 12th and says "Melanie Williams" but has no court stamp [for what it is worth].
- 17. Now we know that this particular EJ-130 [the one attached with the Sheriff's "Notice-to-Vacate"], importantly has item 25 (a) (2) the fact that the Prejudgment Claim of Right to Possession was not served in compliance with CCP 415.16 that is checked here.

- 18. Whereas the actual "accepted" and electronically filed EJ-130 ["writ"] which is actually signed by, as I said, [Crim.] "Deputy Clerk" J. Rose, and is dated August 29 but [electronically] filed on September 12th 2025 [at 9:35:12AM per the "case" file], has both a stamp and a different officer [J. Rose] signing, and item 25 (a) (2) is not checked.
- 19. Now, this is done on purpose, because if they use the "official" "writ", 25 (a) (2) is not checked, but they already know that there are multiple occupants. So to get plausible deniability, you have to allow for so-called Form CP10.
- 20. Now, of course, none of the forms applies to us, because we are not "defendants" in the first place [and the "case" is baseless and criminal to begin with]. We are actually Stewards and Creditors-in-Possession and actually de facto Owners of this space for a variety of reasons to be explained later. But because they clearly know this from our Notices and many other facts that have already been put in evidence, and actually exist on the docket because the Public Notices page is on the docket, having been incorporated in full and all its future versions through the declaration dated July 28th that was docketed officially on July 30th, but three copies of which were already CCD, courtesy copy dropped/delivered to Department 511. Therefore, they should have gone to [Crim.] "Judge" Chad A. Stegeman, who was both the presiding officer on this particular matter and also the Head of Department 511 under which [so-called[Unlawful Detainer matters come. So the forum has been sent all that information back in July, way before any of this "[default] judgment" was issued on August 29th [the "default" was issued August 27, 2025, the "writ" was issued August 29, 2025, and electronically filed September 12, 2025], the so-called "default."
- 21. So the point is that the EJ-130 from August 29th is the one that is stamped. So here is a Sheriff's Office issuing a "Notice-to-Vacate" with an EJ-130 that is nothing. It's not even stamped. So, it's fiction and that is not an idle error or an idle mistake.
- 22. That is very deliberate and willfully done. And because it is willfully done, it is a crime under any system of jurisprudence, including the legal system, and a clear violation of fundamental statutes and a clear violation of —and a clear attack and an atrocious assault on the very existence of the 58 peaceful entities that have lived and occupied this property for more than two-and-a-half years
- 23. And so I'll note that fact, I will not analyze the full document, but it is now recorded that this is how this document was posted. I have shown all the pages. So there is the front page. There were 4 pages. Well, this one is double-sided.

- 24. So the EJ-130 is 3 pages. This is the top page This is the second page I showed, which had the item 25(a)(2) checked. This is the third page, just a bunch of announcements and then there's nothing at the end. This page is intentionally left blank.
- 25. It says so. And then there's the CP 10 Form which has two pages back-to-back and that's all that was left. But there is a "Notice-to-Vacate" which is not addressed to anybody in particular, so it's addressed to no one or to everyone and creates confusion by construct and by design and by deliberate action. There is absolutely no reason not to mention the specific occupant or individual or entity that is the subject of this "Notice-to-Vacate". Who exactly is supposed to [so-called] "vacate"?
- 26. Who is this addressed to? It says "Occupant". Well, there are 58 occupants here. Which occupant is this addressed to? And if it is addressed to anybody other than, let's say, the individual name that's named [in the so-called "case"] which happens to be me, which [the act of naming me as a so-called "defendant"] is of course incorrect, illegal and valid and lawful, but let's ignore that for a minute.
- 27. So if this is addressed to any other occupant, why is that occupant even obligated to do anything?
- 28. So this is again an act of war, an act of aggression and atrocity because it doesn't specify which occupant it's addressed to. Thereby, it once again [attempts to] put all of the 58 occupants in a state of disarray and is designed to do that. Now it doesn't actually do it [because we are clearly not in disarray] but it's designed to create confusion for whoever receives this, but plausible deniability for the forum and the Sheriff's Office because they can always say, "Well, we said "Occupant", we didn't name anybody in particular." So who is this for anyways?
- 29. Let me pause this because this is important. All right, it is now 2:25 AM on October 15, 2025, Wednesday, and I have concluded. I put this on pause to see if there was anything else that I would like to add, but this is for evidence purposes, and I believe it is complete, So I'm going to end this [Notice with] video right now and it will remain as part of our evidentiary records. Thank you.
- 30. Bye bye.

NOTE 1:

Effective immediately, and in alignment with the foundational principle of *accurate recordkeeping* — a fundamental official ministerial duty of a body such as the forum, to the public it is designed to serve— the label "Crim." shall be applied to all **confirmed**

violators of:

- any of the statutes, codes, regulations, rules, protocols, procedures, and/or all other instruments that explicitly or implicitly bind and bound the operation and conduct of an entity such as the forum and/or the Sheriff's Office and all operating under their respective seals;
- their oath-of-office;
- their duty-bound role; or
- their office-bound obligation to the men and women and other entities of California.

This is especially so where such violations:

- are or can be objectively documented via Notices, Declarations, evidence in the public record, e.g. the Public Notices page and the Ledger of Record(™), or any other means; and/or
- cannot credibly be dismissed as mere clerical or procedural error, due to the grievousness, frequency, or willful persistence of the violations; and/or
- have actively resulted in, and/or actively perpetrate, grave and definite harm — including to liberty, limb, and life/existence itself — to innocent parties;
- and/or constitute a clear dereliction of sworn and/or ongoing duty.

The prefix "Crim." is a **fact-based**, **status-tracking label** that tracks that the individual's **personal and/or professional acts and/or omissions or the entity's acts and/or omissions** fall squarely within the definition of *criminal behavior*.