

Transcript of Notice of Illegal & Unlawful "Writ" and Criminal Liability, September 14, 2025.

Legend:

The text segments applicable to each entity are highlighted (vertically) using the legend shown. Where the same segment applies to multiple entities/parties, the vertical bars of each applicable color are used.

 Owner/PM |  Forum |  Sheriff

1. **VishalS:** All right, it's now 1.37pm on Sunday, September 14th, 2025. I'm out here in the backyard again and I realized that I have to quickly cut my hair and I'll make a video just documenting my thoughts on what the strategy will be going forward, particularly with the Sheriff Department now that the so-called "Writ of Possession" was issued on September 12, 2025, of course, unlawfully and illegally and definitely, in my opinion, criminally. So I'll do that because that way it gives me an opportunity to document my thoughts while still doing some work. So let me just pause it while I get my stuff and then we'll start. All right, so let's start.
2. **VishalS:** So, one of the most important things that comes into play is that the Sheriff's Office is not just merely an implementer. The Sheriff's Office is a very important part of the governance structure, as it is set up today, and has a critical role in law enforcement in ensuring that the laws so called are followed. In fact, the sheriff as laid out is one of the most important officers in fact, sworn & duty bound and of course everybody inside of that office, as the beacon of last resort really because the Sheriff's Office is the one that is supposed to protect the interests of the people when everything else fails. Even if the police and law and so-called police and the justice system and the courts, even if they have made decisions that adversely and negatively impact a man or a woman, it's really the Sheriff's Office's job to protect and uphold the statutes and the laws and, therefore, uphold that which is right, that which is lawful, that which is just equitable, fair and so forth.
3. **VishalS:** That is very much the job of the Office of the Sheriff. That's why the Office of the Sheriff in fact exists. Their job is not to be paper-pushers, rubber-stampers and just implement whatever comes by their desk without any thought, without any discretion, without any decision, without any discernment; they have to assess what they are doing, and see if that is aligned with what they have been oath-bound and duty-bound to do. Otherwise, they are violating the very reason for their existence and not in a trivial manner, in a very grievous, grave and manner of great gravity because if the Sheriff's Office itself becomes a vehicle for criminal collusion, complicity, conspiracy against the very men or women that the Sheriff is supposed to protect, and all the entities, I mean they could be businesses, men or women, other entities, pets, plants that are involved,

whose very existence is threatened in a very unlawful, illegal and criminal manner. And if the Sheriff's Office just supports that then, because they are the actual enforcers, the burden of responsibility that they carry is orders of magnitude more than what it may be for somebody who just issued a paper "order" sitting in an office.

4. **VishalS:** Because the **Sheriff's Office and the Sheriff's Department and all the deputies and every official involved is the one that's going out in the field and has real knowledge of whether what they're being asked to do makes sense or not.** So, in this case/example, for example, there are now 58 occupants on this property. Entities, businesses, substantial businesses, living beings that have been here, that have lived here comfortably, peacefully, have abided by and honored all the obligations that they were required to

5. **VishalS:** honor, both from the perspective of a living agreement – we can't call it a lease, because there never was a lease because unfortunately, the owner and the property manager right from the very beginning did not provide what would be considered even legally a habitable and safe living arrangement. This shaking fence that I have held up with these planks for the last ... and has been broken and, therefore, provides no security or privacy and is a threat itself in terms of safety, is a living example of that and there were several other things like that that are already listed in my Notices which are on the Public Notices page, which are on the Ledger of Record^(TM), which have been even intimated to the Sheriff Yesenia Sanchez and her Office and Deputy White, S. White, from the Office very long ago, on July 24th via a formal Notice and then again on July 25th over a very extensive 10-minute call where I made Deputy White already aware that the way the forum is proceeding it appears that they are single-mindedly ignoring all evidence and facts of the case that, as I said, obliterate the very basis of the case, because the party in question is grievously faulted and now, unfortunately, the forum itself is fatally faulted and has completely abdicated its right to be a forum of any kind of "justice", because it has demonstrated a tremendous breach of neutrality by siding with the party, giving them unlimited tries, something like 7 tries to get default judgment, which itself is a travesty.

6. **VishalS:** Because there has to be, there is a, at least, conventionally a limit, that you can't abuse the court process itself by repeatedly trying, if you are not able to fulfill the basic statutory requirements of even service. **And the other thing I want to note here is that till today, service has not happened.** Why? **Because the initial service was, according to the court, not according to its own procedures,** so, therefore, **the initial service was illegal and invalid so the case never started.**

7. **VishalS:** And the **Proof-of-Service (POS 010) that was submitted on August 18th (claiming posting and mailing on July 31, 2025) is also illegal and invalid,** because for one thing the Forum shouldn't have accepted it because the forum's **own "order", dated June 18th, 2025, requires Proof-of-Service to be submitted within 10 days of mailing, which clearly was not the case.**

8. Now, **Deputy Clerk Esther** on August 26th **very categorically told me that the one thing that the clerks of the court are trained to do is to do their ministerial role and ensure that the paperwork follows the statutes and the requirements that are prescribed and that bind and govern the conduct and operation of the entire forum and everybody inside of it** and, of course, also the Sheriff's Office, because the Sheriff's Office is bound by the same exact statutes and laws.
9. And yet, the forum in a display of a grievous lack of basic jurisprudence, of basic adherence to its own requirements accepted a Proof-of-Service that was [8 days] late. The other thing the forum didn't do, and we'll come back to the Sheriff in a minute, is **they never verified from the Certified Mail Receipt whether the certified mail was actually delivered**. The statutes don't require you to just throw a Certified Mail package over the fence, so to speak.
10. **VishalS: There's a reason why the statutes prescribe Certified Mail and the reason is very simple.**
11. In the case of alternate service, even if you post it on the property, there's always a possibility that **service** may not be complete, because the recipients, intended recipients, may not get it.
12. So the law allows for posting via, requires posting via Certified Mail, which has a Tracking Number so that everybody, the forum included, can easily verify whether (a), it was sent properly, (b) was it received. So that now, there is at least a confirmation that the documents and all of the information that is required by posting and mailing as an act of ... a compound act of completing **service** has been done. **But it is verifiable from the receipt that is on the Proof of Service that that package was never delivered**, because a notice was posted here and it didn't get picked up for a variety of reasons. But it doesn't matter what the reasons are.
13. **VishalS: The important thing is that if the package is not picked up, Certified Mail is meaningless and was never completed.** So on that count alone, again the Proof of Service is illegal and **[service] doesn't exist**. So service, basically, has not legally [and lawfully] happened yet. In addition to the fact that the claim that there was a posting here on July 31st is also incorrect because at least nothing was found by the occupants of this property posted here on July 31st.
14. **VishalS:** So, that also the forum should have verified, especially after the party has tried at least 4 or 5 times, because even the statutes and the law requires that the forum's job is not merely a clerical job of filing papers. Like the Sheriff's Office, the forum's job is a very important one and every officer, especially the clerks, deputy clerks, are required to not just file paperwork and see if the paperwork is complete, **but to see that it is actually following what the statutes and the laws prescribe.**

15. And a very basic act, when you are provided the Certified Mail [Tracking] Number is to check, well, is that number even valid? Was that CertifiedMmail ever delivered? Did the intended occupants get the information in the manner that they were supposed to get?
16. Because if they didn't, and the law is very strict about that, then **"service"** has not happened and if the **service** has not happened, the case has not begun, because even the possibility of the court communicating with any of the so-called occupants, and there are 58 of them here, as documented in another Notice on my Ledger of Record TM on the Public Notices page and also communicated via Notice to the court, to Supervisor of Clerks, Melanie Williams on August 26th, I sent her, gave her a formal Notice because I was transferred by clerk Esther, who, by the way also, did not give me the full information that a party so called, we're not really a party, but the other side, namely me and the other occupants, were entitled to: which is, what was the reason for the rejection, yet again, of the Request for Entry of Default by the "other party".
17. **The other thing is that the "other party" is requesting entry of default via a Clerk's Judgment. Well, that's only possible if there's no response from the other side or the other side has gone silent and never communicated with the court or never sent any information.**
18. But that's not true here. I've been communicating with the court formally since June and there's a Declaration on the docket as of July 30th.
19. **VishalS:** So the case has not even begun till today, over a hundred, 110 days later. And there have been 4 formal notices given to the forum, a very important Declaration docketed, a Notice about that Declaration, specifically given to Deputy Clerk Abigail Castaneda, explaining to her that it contains known facts, and very easily verifiable, and made available to the forum that immediately indicate that the case has no basis and that obliterates the case.
20. **VishalS:** It has no basis as I said earlier because the "party" in question is already fatally disqualified by not honoring its own legal and lawful agreements, not responding to, over many months, legal and lawful notices.
21. **So this is a classic example of**, what even the statutory system calls, **a retaliatory act**, which is looked upon as a very serious matter and is completely discouraged in Unlawful Detainer cases because if that was possible, every landlord or every owner that is in breach of their own agreements and is not managing the property in the manner that they have to, according to some very basic requirements that they themselves have to satisfy as the Owner and Property Manager.
22. **VishalS:** If everybody who didn't have that, was able to just go and file an unlawful detainer case and illegally and lawfully and criminally in a retaliatory manner, dispossess and create destruction, devastation and total disruption in the lives of those that were

living in that property, the occupants, in this case 58 of them, then it would be a totally corrupt system.

23. You can't be [crying] foul, when you already are in foul yourself. **That's like a basic rule of every game that every child knows from probably the age of 5. So this is so elementary that it doesn't even need declarations or explaining and so forth. Yet we've already given that to the court, sent ... the forum, and sent them multiple notices.**
24. **VishalS:** And also indicated that coming back to the Sheriff's Office, to Sheriff Yesenia Sanchez and Deputy S. White, both in writing and as a Notice by talking with them personally to make sure that there is no possibility that that Notice is ignored, or it's just kept in a mailing room somewhere, that they are well-aware it was sent Certified Mail, it was received, that they should look at it.
25. And I told Deputy White that the Notice's purpose, and the time and energy spent in sending the Notice, wasn't because it just needed to be a piece of paper there. It was because the Notice has very specific things to say. So does the Declaration sent to the forum.
26. And it is imperative for the Sheriff's Office and all the involved officials, any and all involved, to read it, look at it, understand it, understand the grave implications and then do what they are duty-bound and oath-bound to do, which is to uphold the law and make sure that they don't take any action, which is so far removed from the law, which is so far in the domain of ... which would be deemed so far in the domain of criminality.
27. **VishalS:** I mean, just let's think about it, right?
28. You are taking 58 occupants who have been threatened with existential crisis, essentially for more than 100 plus days, by a party that's tried 7 or 8 times, couldn't get its paperwork right, and the forum has been coaching them and helping them.
29. And I was told by Clerk Esther on August 26th, and these were the very words, that "**we told them what is wrong in their paperwork so that their documents could become legally binding.**" I mean, if this is not an amazingly incriminating statement that on the face of it, if there was any doubt about the forum already not being fatally disqualified, this pretty much does it.
30. It indicates complete complicity, collusion, in fact, conspiracy, and an entire campaign against the 58 innocent occupants of this property, who, like I said earlier, are the only ones in this whole situation that have followed the law, that have followed the statutes, that have followed the process, that have followed the procedures, that have upheld their end of all agreements and bargains.

31. **VishalS:** And yet, it appears that the system and all involved wish to dispossess them, destroy their place of existence and thereby wreak complete havoc, destruction and devastation on their very existence.

32. **And what is the price of the existence of 58 occupants worth?**

33. **If that was completely, incorrectly, unlawfully, illegally and criminally done, what would be the responsibility of all of the involved individuals that participated in or made possible this atrocity and this absolute pogrom, effectively, against these 58 occupants without verifying the facts that they have been told multiple times are publicly available and are in the very docket that the forum *has* for this particular case.**

34. **And the forum has not responded to that, nor looked at it clearly, because if they had, there would be no way for this to come this far. In addition to everything else that both the forum and the party have breached, I mean, at this point, the challenge is not that they have breached and are both, therefore, fatally disqualified.**

35. **VishalS: The challenge is that the number of breaches is reaching about 60 or 70 each. And documenting that is like writing an encyclopedia in itself. That is the challenge that we, the occupants of this property, are facing.** We've indicated some of the most important fundamental things, where the other things are peripheral, because once the most fundamental thing is not satisfied.

36. **VishalS:** If the forum, by its lack of non-neutrality, and I just gave one example, there are at least half a dozen others, or maybe more. I don't have to list all of them here. That one example is good enough.

37. Earlier on July 10, Deputy Clerk Abigail Castaneda said, or July, June 29 [this was said in error, it should have been July 10, 2025), she said, **"Well, if they give us an amended POS, we are *not gonna check* if that amended POS even *is valid or not* [we'll just believe it], and we'll still push default judgment through."**

38. Why would a neutral forum and a sworn officer of a neutral forum ever make a statement that says the word we? **The forum is not to be aligning with any party at all, least of all a party that itself is fatally disqualified, and that the forum is being repeatedly informed about and educated on, even though there is no obligation on these occupants to do anything, because as I said, the forum has absolutely no basis.**

39. **VishalS: Forget about authority, they certainly have no authority, but they don't even have a basis as per their own governing statutes to even communicate with these occupants yet.**

40. Because the very process ... the basic process that the statutes and the laws that govern and bind the conduct and operation of the forum and everybody inside of it ... those laws themselves, those statutes have not been followed to date after 3 or 4 attempts at "service", and after 7 or 8, 6 or 5, ... I don't know ... 6 requests for a default judgment.
41. The forum just keeps coaching them and allowing them to resubmit. **It seems like it's an infinite number of tries, which itself is a violation of the very laws that govern something as serious as, and the fast track, fast moving case process like an Unlawful Detainer.**
42. **VishalS:** This one has well exceeded that. I understand that time is 20 to 45 days. This one is well over 100 days.
43. Yes, some kind of paperwork has been processed on August 29th and September 12th, but as I just explained, that has absolutely no basis, and not only that, **it is now willfully criminal, given that there is information and a Declaration on the forum [docket], with the forum since July 30th, a Declaration for which the forum has been noticed at least 4 more times in a formal way and explained that it has material facts.**
44. And **to then look past that fact and keep supporting a party that's fatally disqualified** and making all the grievous ... disobeying their own governing statutes, as I just outlined, in just the manner of acceptance of the so-called Proof of Service of August 18, which is late, which is incorrect, which is not aligned with the statutes, which does not even have service by Certified Mail, even if you forget that the fact that nothing was posted really.
45. **VishalS:** So the forum should have been asking some proof, like a photograph, to say show us that, at least now, after so many attempts, you've actually completed the service process.
46. Because, as I said earlier, that is **the single most important thing in any legal process** for it [the legal process] to even start. Because if the other party, the other occupants -- namely the 58 occupants -- don't even know what the matter is and have not received all the paperwork properly, they have no basis to act.
47. They don't even have the fundamental information, which is exactly why **service** is defined the way it is and why it's the responsibility of the other "party" and **it's a bounden responsibility of the forum, not just to process paperwork, because anything can be written on a piece of paper, but to verify that the paper presents the proof of a certain action that is a legal action, namely the act of service, and that that act of service was done according to the statutes.**

48. **VishalS:** *Not that the paper was filled that just said something was done according to the statutes.* That is unacceptable, and **that is a great grievous breach of the forum's [very basic] responsibility.** Because again, the forum is not just a paper processor .. if it was, then it would not be a place which is taking on cases or deciding cases.

49. Because anybody could submit any piece of paper, and if that was all correct and accurate and according to the statutes and the governing and binding laws, then the forum would actually not be needed. People may be able to just manage deciding their own affairs by themselves. Let me pause here for a minute and I'll continue.

50. **VishalS:** So coming back to the Sheriff's Office, now as I was saying earlier, where this all ties in is given this background, which has been communicated to the Sheriff's Office, not all the, every single detail because that's, that level of communication is not even necessary, just the very fact that the party against whom, we are not even a "party" but the set of occupants against whom this whole campaign appears to be being conducted have informed Sheriff Sanchez and her entire Office and staff and have spoken specifically with Deputy White and let her know that the whole purpose of speaking is not just for nothing.

51. **VishalS:** It is very specifically to make sure that they are aware -- in the exact manner that we spoke to the people at the forum -- to make sure that they are aware that material information exists that changes, obliterates the basis of the "case" and, therefore, they should look at it so that there is no doubt, there is no delay, there is no possibility that the forum or the Sheriff's Office can claim that they were not made aware in time. The Sheriff's office was made [aware] 2 months ago. Almost 6 weeks prior they were told that this may happen because we could see that the forum appears to not be paying attention to material facts before it for reasons best known to the forum.

52. **VishalS:** *But the end effect is that an illegal and unlawful piece of paper called the "Writ of Possession" has been issued.* But that really doesn't mean anything. Because as I said, the job of the Sheriff's Office, like that of the Forum, is not to be merely paper-pushers but to evaluate whether the paper before them actually is correct, and **specially to do that if they have been noticed well in advance that this possibility exists, and all of the facts and notices have been made available to everybody involved so they can verify for themselves, which they should have done by now.**

53. **VishalS:** So that's the other point. Given that the Public Notices page existed since July 23rd [and was fully referenced in the Declaration with the forum, and included fully in that Declaration by explicit reference] and Sheriff Sanchez's Notice made her specifically aware of that, and the entire staff.

54. **VishalS:** The Sheriff's office should actually have been looking at the Public Notices page to see what has been posted there and how that impacts any action that they may be asked to take which they may realize, they would obviously, **if they read the information**, would instantly realize **is not an action that is founded on any of the statutes that govern and bind the operation of both bodies, the Sheriff's Office and the Forum.**

55. **VishalS:** So as a result, they would have already communicated to the Forum that -- it would be their duty to communicate to the forum that -- the forum is proceeding in a direction that they can't implement it and if they haven't done that then they have not actually followed their own duty and oath, which they should do now and that's exactly what we are communicating through this Notice.

56. This is kind of becoming a Notice to the Sheriff's Office and of course to the Forum itself SEVERand we shall attempt to put it up on the Public Notices page, because what we are reminding both -- everybody involved in the forum that have been named in our Notices and in the Sheriff's Office -- is that they cannot blindly process paper.

57. **The paper is** only representative of a lawful ... and **supposed to be representative of a lawful and statutorily precise and accurate process** and if there is any evidence, and in this case the evidence is already there in the Declaration with the forum, in the various Notices, more than 4 or 5, given to the forum, specifically to different officers of the forum, in the Notice given to Sheriff Sanchez and personally given to Deputy White and also documented on the Public Notices page very clearly that there seems to be some discrepancies in what the statutes and the governing laws describe for both bodies and what is actually being done in practice.

58. **VishalS:** And, those discrepancies are of a very serious nature, very grievous nature that affect the existence of 58 occupants of a property. So not one, not two ... but fifty eight (58).

59. And therefore, **the gravity of trying to dispossess them, and wreak devastation and havoc on their lives, and potentially putting their lives themselves at risk, is very grave**, and the **responsibility that therefore falls on the shoulders of both the Sheriff's Office and the forum itself of course, is equally great.**

60. And, if one of them has not followed what they should have followed, it still is the responsibility of the other body to ensure that they at least uphold their own duty, they are duty-bound to ensure that they are aligned with the statutes and the laws, and **don't do something that violates in a very vicious manner the very existence of 58 occupants ...**

61. **VishalS:** ... while at the same time thoroughly violating their own governing and binding statutes, thereby indicating that they are operating well outside the realms

that they are actually bound by and therefore operating effectively as uncontrolled rogue organizations that are ignoring their own rules, their own regulations, procedures, standards, protocols, and **most importantly, their own governing and binding statutes and laws**. And that again will disqualify, if they continue to do that, especially the Sheriff's Office, from any kind of legitimacy or any kind of lawfulness or any kind of basis to act.

62. **VishalS:** Because they are a body that violates its own governance statutes, laws, procedures, processes, requirements, rules, and regulations. And in this case, both the forum and the Sheriff's Office, if they keep moving in the direction in which they are already moving, are doing ...

63. **VishalS:** ... then in every system of jurisprudence that body is fatally disqualified, not just from acting in this particular so-called "case" or "matter", but it has essentially abdicated its very right to exist because of the seriousness of the violations that the body and every individual involved, all its officials, workers, officers, clerks, contractors, whoever it may be, **because of the serious violations that they are all individually and collectively, jointly and severally, personally and professionally doing**.

64. **VishalS:** And that indicates a very serious level of total disregard and breach, and an operation so far outside their own governance structure that it is evident and axiomatic to anybody looking at it -- actually assessing the matter -- that such a forum has become rogue, has, of course, no right to be doing any kind of so-called "decision making", "justice" would be a very far cry.

65. And certainly **has absolutely no right or absolutely no basis to wreak this kind of destruction on the existence of 58 occupants, 58 entities that are operating out of, reside, and work from this facility**.

66. **VishalS:** Because again, what is the worth of the, in quotes, lives or existence of 58 entities. What is a single life worth?

67. **In my opinion, I place my life ... it is so valuable to me that it is of inestimable value.**

68. But just as a figurative, metaphorical value, I've often said that it's trillion, **dollar trillion to the power trillion. It is so valuable.**

69. If you ask somebody if they were to give up their life and what would they say would be the worth of their life?

70. And here the Forum and the Sheriff's Office are attempting to destroy the existence of 58 entities. **Many of them are business entities that could be generating significant millions of revenue for 10-20 years and by dispossessing them, removing them**

from their facilities, completely upending their entire operations, *they will all be essentially killed ... instantaneously.*

71. VishalS: Because recovering from something like this is next to impossible.

72. And all of that done with absolutely no basis, absolutely no ... in complete contravention of every possible principle of jurisprudence, in complete contravention of every single statute, law, rules, requirements, regulations, procedures, processes, and protocols that govern and bind the operation and of all those within both the forum and the Sheriff's Office and in complete violation of, and completely outside, the statutes and laws that bind and box the operation of both bodies.

73. The law and the statutes are defined for a reason, to keep the bodies within certain meets and bounds of their operation. **But if the bodies, both ignore and completely operate outside those meets and bounds, then the bodies are unregulated and rogue bodies** and they do not really ... **they forfeit as a very fundamental basis -- right from the start, from inception -- their right to even exist.**

74. And, effecting an "unlawful detainer", so-called "judgment", and then throwing 58 occupants on the street and destroying their entire existence **is very far from what such bodies have any basis to do.**


75. VishalS: And it's clearly a very grave, as I said earlier, a very serious, act of great gravity that is clearly criminal.

76. Because when you're operating outside the statutes, you're already in illegal territory. And when you're doing it willfully to cause great harm, which you have been notified about and the facts made available, then it is willful, purposeful, conduct of very serious nature that clearly falls in criminal territory.


77. So those are my thoughts and the Sheriff's Office, by not applying any discretion at all and by not following their duty and oath-bound responsibilities, which is not to be paper-pushers, rubber stampers, or looking at paper, **but to be looking at the paper that represents the statutes that govern certain matters, are the statutes being followed? Is the paper accurate?**

78. VishalS: That is their first responsibility. And they cannot act in contravention of that.

79. VishalS: And if they do, and if they do after this Notice, or the Notice [of July 24, and July 25] that is already on file with them, then they'll be doing it willfully and purposefully with a criminal intent to cause intentional harm to 58 occupants of a property, that I said earlier, have been living here peacefully, existing peacefully, not causing any harm to



anybody else, and more importantly, are the only ones that have worked in accordance with both lawful principles, legal principles, commercial principles, commercial contract principles, their responsibility to keep all parties informed and updated, and their responsibility to give Notice to every party well in advance of the party committing serious criminal acts so that they can prevent the party from moving in a direction that puts the party at grievous risk.



80. And so that is the important message that I would like to convey through this. Let me pause this. If there are other thoughts, I'll add to them. **Thank you.**