# Transcript of "Notice of Occupant Ledgers, Accrued Liabilities, Multi-Party Debt Accounts and Payment Obligations (And Undivided Liability for Independent Trespass by Each Named Party)"

(This Notice is a declared, timestamped, multi-party financial and fiduciary liability statement. This Notice formalizes the existence of multiple independent occupants and operational entities at the property, declares their respective ledgers and accrued liabilities as of Sep 7, 2025, and assigns undivided financial responsibility to each named party in relation to their independent acts of trespass.)

### **Start of Transcript:**

Good evening. It is now 10.43pm on Sunday, September 7, 2025. And I'm making this as a formal notice to various parties about, it's called the **Notice of Occupant Ledgers, Accrued Liabilities, Multi-Party Debt Accounts, and Payment Obligations**, and the Undivided Liability for Independent Trespass by Each Named Party.

So what this Notice is, it talks about the trespass that has been committed ... acts of trespass that have been initiated and committed against various occupants that I'll describe shortly of this property by the various parties that have been involved in initiating and committing those acts of trespass. And it lays down several facts that are very important and issues a formal fiduciary notice to each of the parties involved.

So what I'm going to explicitly cover is:

- 1. Who are the parties committing the acts of trespass. We'll name all the 10 parties clearly.
- 2. Who are the occupants against whom the acts of trespass are being committed or initiated, because trespass is an ongoing action. It doesn't simply finish when some initiating part of that action is done. It finishes only when: all the liabilities associated with that trespass have been fulfilled and or the trespass itself has been extinguished by the entity upon whom that act of trespass was initiated.
- 3. So then we'll talk about what the ledgers are and how they accrue.
- 4. The acts of trespass that have been committed so far.
- 5. The total liability as of September 7th, 2025 that has been accrued by each party vis-avis each occupant of the property and
- 6. How this liability will be recoverable or be recovered over time.
- 7. The transmission of this liability to all 10 parties to apprise them of their fiduciary responsibility and
- 8. The serial number of this particular Notice for each segment of the Public Notices page that has already been referenced in the July 30th declaration on the docket with the forum and

9. To announce that this is being also published to the Ledger of Record (<sup>™</sup>) on the Public Notices page.

#### So let's talk about the:

#### 1. Parties that are committing the acts of Trespass

There are 10 parties as follows,

- 1. Ravi Korlimarla, as Owner of the Property,
- 2. Jessica Liao as Property Manager of the Property,
- 3. **Gratia Realty** as the Property Management Firm for the Property.
- 4. Ravi Korlimarla and
- 5. **Srilakshmi Malkapuram as Trustees** of the Ravi and Srilakshmi Revocable Trust dated November 1st, 2024.
- 6. **The Chigbu Law Firm** as a declared agent of the owner Ravi Korlimarla and the Property Manager Jessica Liao of Gratia Realty.

The individual attorneys as willful agents executing all actions which includes

- 7. Christine A. Chigbu and
- 8. Pritpal Singh and
- 9. The forum ("court") itself which I'm going to list as what the forum is. So the forum:

including **Department 511**, the **Hayward Hall of Justice**, the **Superior Court of California**, **County of Alameda**, **including** all officials, officers, personnel, employees, staff members, contractors, and any other individuals or entities acting on behalf of, or under the authority of, or in concert with any part of these 3 bodies or entities in their professional and personal capacities, jointly and severally.

In particular, these are some of the officials that we've interacted with that are included in the forum.

So including, but not limited to, Deputy Clerks A. Castaneda, A. Kosenko, and J. Thomas, Clerk Esther, Clerk Amber, Clerk Ronnie, Clerk Jamie, Clerk Alex, Supervisor of Clerks Melanie Williams, who's already been noticed through a very explicit Notice on August 26<sup>th</sup> (see, **FRM-010** on the Ledger of Record<sup>™</sup> on the Public Notices page at <a href="http://www.metanoia-inc.com/public-notices">http://www.metanoia-inc.com/public-notices</a>), the Chief Executive or the Clerk of the Court, Chad Finke, Ed Finke, I'm sorry, and Judge Chad A. Stegeman, who manages, I believe, Department 511 and was also one of the presiding officers for this matter.

10. And lastly, at number 10, the Ravi and Sri Lakshmi Revocable Trust dated November 1st, 2024, at least as a vehicle complicit by its enabling the trustees and all of their agents to pursue their acts of trespass.

### 2. So who are the occupants?

Well, an *occupant* is any person or entity who resides ... generally understood to be, any person or entity who resides in, uses, possesses or exercises control over a premises or a property, and either with or without legal title or leasehold interest.

And obviously that includes entities that operate out of, or use the property or premises as an operational base, or to store records, infrastructure, data, to conduct client communications, inbound and outbound interactions from clients with clients from here.

Or additionally, they may have creative production, development, design, content creation, and ideation facilities here.

So in that context, the occupants are as follow:

- There are 9 businesses or business enterprises that are based on various frameworks that have been developed by me, which have creative production, development, design, content creation, and ideation facilities here.
- We have 2 production studios, a podcast studio, and a multi-zoom broadcast studio as two distinct business entities.
- A flow optimized office, which is another business entity.
- Multiple LLCs, at least 3 as of this count,
- Several PMAs, at least 2 as of this count, and
- Trusts, and a
- Key standalone business program that's a separate business entity.
- Of course, there is me, Vishal Sharma.
- There is my company, Metanoia Innovations, Inc, that has been quoted in all the paperwork that we have provided to the forum.

And there is an, so if we total these up, that comes out to 21 businesses or business enterprises and/or commercial entities.

So the LLCs, the PMAs, trusts, businesses, business enterprises, business programs, production studios, and office facilities each have their operational base at this premise and that is used to store critical infrastructure, files, physical and digital data, backup storage devices, data and communications infrastructure and various other facilities, tools, seals, devices and so forth.

Therefore, each entity resides at the property or the premises.

In addition to that, there are 37 plants that have been documented in a separate video, each a co-resident and a co-creator and a cultivated being, each of course being a distinct occupant. Many of them have been there for several years, but at least all of them have been there for many months preceding the first act of trespass.

For the purposes of this notice, there were several other acts of trespass prior that are not included here. They are ledgered separately.

So each of the 37 plans plus 21 business and commercial entities arrive at a total of 58 occupants, upon each of whom every act initiating a trespass creates a trespass that each entity now has to address.

And now I must add that this is a list of currently known occupants but more occupants may become known upon further review and analysis that we may conduct and we reserve the right to make them and their respective ledgers known at an appropriate point in time.

### 3. So now, what are the ledgers and how do they accrue?

Well, fundamentally, Each entity maintains an independent ledger of accumulating liabilities for each party that has initiated said act of trespass against the entity. And each party is therefore independently liable to each entity, each of the 58, for an act of trespass that the party has agency and responsibility for.

Now, I must note here that there are separate ledgers for over 15 other acts of trespass that were committed by the owner and the property manager, the property management company, their law firm, the Chigbu law firm and their attorneys or counsel in the months of April and May, 2025 that are not included here, but are ledgered and logged separately.

So in this case, as outlined earlier, it is very evident that each of the 10 parties enumerated earlier has clear, direct, traceable liability and culpability for each act of trespass because each independently triggers or materially enables each act of trespass.

And this obviously leads to individually accrued full liability per party. So, each of the 10 parties is liable, for the full amount of liability, to each of the 58 occupants. In other words, each of the 58 occupants has the right to legally, lawfully and ethically recover their entire liability from each of the 10 parties that are responsible for each act of trespass initiated upon or committed against each of the 58 occupants.

Now, as far as the forum goes, there are multiple parties as I've already enumerated earlier in this Notice. And, so those parties are jointly and severally, personally and professionally, liable for this particular liability.

#### 4. Now, what are the acts of trespass that have been committed so far?

So for the purpose of this notice, the initial act of trespass was the mailing and arrival of the Notice from the forum dated June 2, 2025, under the seal and signature of the Executive Officer of the clerk of the court, Ed Finke, on official stationery and on official letterhead. Now, it turns out that that notice had no basis, given, at the very least, the following facts:

i.) One, as a neutral body, it's not the responsibility of the forum to send notices about a matter to one particular party. And certainly not before the matter, as per its own governing statutes, has formally even begun.

So a notice, that notice basically illegally and unlawfully asserted all 3 types of authorities, judical, judicial and jurisdictional authority. And why was that the case?

A **judical authority** by issuing it on a letterhead, on a seal, and on official stationery, the persona of a judicial persona of a court was invoked.

The **judicial authority** comes from the fact that it was signed by the executive officer or clerk of the court using the seal. It had a docket number, it had a case number, a defendant designation that implicitly borrowed the judicial function. And it gave the impression that judgment had already begun and that all of the occupants were already inside the judicial process, even though, as I said earlier, the case itself had not even begun.

And finally, jurisdictional authority was asserted in the following manner. The notice implicitly asserted personal jurisdiction by naming the occupants and myself as a defendant that were somehow bound to respond.

It asserted subject matter jurisdiction because it said that the forum had their basis to hear a complaint. And territorial jurisdiction, namely that all this property and all the occupants fell under somehow the authority of the forum, which, of course, was without any basis.

ii) Now, the forum also preempted the very processes that define legal service as specified in the very statutes that govern and bind the forum's own operation and conduct.

Now we know that **service**, properly executed, is the primary act that when completed as per the statutes, with proof provided to, **and verified by**, the forum is what allows a "case" or a matter to even have the possibility to begin. So clearly, the June 2nd notice preceded all of that and preempted all of that.

So it asserted juridical, judicial, and jurisdictional authority with no basis whatsoever.

Therefore, all subsequent acts of trespass were tied to the forum's Notice of June 2, 2025 that we are talking about here. There may be others that we may have to deal with separately are tied to the forum's Notice dated June 2, 2025, because they were explicitly referenced in the paperwork posted and used by the so-called "initiating party", which as an independent matter, is already fatally disqualified on both legal, lawful, and breach of contract, and breach of agreements, and so forth.

So there were 13 acts of trespass committed against all of the occupants, except Vishal Sharma, against whom there were 14 acts of trespass because 2 Notices were sent by the forum on June 2nd. One for all of the occupants that included Vishal Sharma, obviously that's me, and one specifically directed to me and addressed to me.

So this was not just an act of trespass, but a *willful* act of trespass, therefore an act of *criminal trespass*.

Now, the other trespasses that were all tied to this were:

- A. 3 process server visits on June 3, 4, and 5. That was documented in a sworn declaration filed with the forum by the "initiating party" when they requested alternate service.
- B. The forum's notice dated June 2, which addressed all occupants, applies to all of the 57 occupants minus Vishal, and then 2 notices which apply to Vishal Sharma.
- C. The posting and mailing by a First-class Mail that was done on June 20, which was evidenced by The first Proof of Service (POS-1) dated June 30, 2025, that was filed with the forum and accepted by the forum.
- D. The USPS notification of certified mail that arrived on July 28, as per the tracking number of the receipts submitted by the so-called "initiating party" on July 22, 2025, with their request for default judgment.
- E. The posting and mailing on the property on July 31st, 2025, which was claimed under a sworn statement by the "initiating party" under a second Proof of Service (POS-2) submitted to the forum on August 18, 2025 and accepted by the forum, although wrongfully/illegally so, because it was way past the 10-day deadline specified in the *enabling order* that the forum itself issued when it allowed for alternate service by the "initiating party."
- F. The USPS notification of certified mail that I received with a tracking number on form 3849 on August 2nd, 2025.
- G. A process server visit that was initiated on August 5th, 2025, that is documented via video showing him leaving the property and talking and capturing his license plate number.
- H. And a posting on the property, most likely by attorney Pritpal Singh, that happened on August 22nd, 2025, early evening, that is documented in a video of him leaving and his license plate number.
- I. And a USPS notification of certified mail that arrived on August 23rd, 2025, as per the tracking number on form 3849 left by the USPS mail carrier on the front door.

So this, if you count them up, there are 13 acts of trespass that accumulate cumulatively for Vishal Sharma because there were 2 notices that the forum sent, one addressed specifically to Vishal Sharma, there were 14 acts of trespass.

## 5. So what is the total current liability as of September 7, 2025 as of right now?

So upon computing the liability in a given occupant's ledger and accounting for the cumulative effect of each of the 13 or 14 acts of trespass as per the commercial terms posted on the property with the do not trespass notice. That have been there since months before the June 2nd, 2025 trespass and provably so, on video and photographs and so forth.

The *lower bound* of the total accrued liability for each party for a given occupant stands at about \$766 million.

For Vishal Sharma due to the additional act of trespass, that number is \$860 million.

Now, these, we must note, are conservative calculations based on very simplistic assumptions of assuming only 5 actions per hour and 4 hours per day devoted to dealing with these trespasses, acts of trespass.

The actual amounts, when fully computed, will likely be much, much higher since the numbers quoted are *very conservative figures*. The cumulative liability for each of the parties that have been listed earlier across all 58 occupants is at least 766 x 58, which is \$4.428 billion, an amount *fully recoverable* from *each* party (independently of all others) and or its heirs and assigns in perpetuity, as we've already explained earlier.

#### 6. So now the question is, how will this liability be recoverable or recovered?

So **the Bill from a given occupant** for each party shall survive the termination or ending of this matter. In fact, it has nothing to do with the matter per se, because it's tied to the initial trespass notice, (the act of) trespass committed/initiated by the Notice (dated June 2, 2025) sent by the forum.

And so it will be recoverable in perpetuity until it's paid or extinguished and it shall be done independently for each party at the convenience of each occupant or its heirs and assigned. So this debt is assignable or liability is assignable by each occupant to its representatives or heirs and assigns and recoverable from any and all heirs, assigns, representatives of that particular party and it can only be discharged when either it is fully paid off or it is extinguished explicitly in writing by that occupant or its representatives or the heirs, assigns, and representatives thereof.

So a Bill from a given occupant to each party, by neither relying on nor excluding any jurisdiction nor any statutory or procedural framework or forum, shall always stand independently and lawfully and retain its evidentiary and lawful force across all current and all future domains and forums, irrespective of what form they may take. As such, the Bill will be payable in any domain, no matter what domain it may have started in today, it will be payable in whatever domain prevails at the time that the Bill or the balance of that Bill is being recovered.

And it shall be payable in any domain whatsoever, wherever and whenever it is invoked by an occupant or a representative of the occupant or by the heirs and assigns of the occupant or their representatives vis-a-vis the heirs and assigns of that particular party.

So in other words, it will be recoverable in perpetuity, multi-generationally across any and all domains and jurisdictions as may prevail at the time of recovery, only to be extinguished upon either full discharge of the payable amount or upon being extinguished in writing by that occupant or its representatives or the heirs, assigns and representatives of that occupant.

And it will be recoverable as we've already clarified earlier, independently for the full amount from each party because each party independently is a responsible party for each act of trespass. So let me just make sure. So this last number 7 item,

#### 7. Item number seven is the formal transmission of Bills.

Transcript: Notice of Occupant Ledgers, Accrued Liabilities, Multi-Party Debt Accounts and Payment Obligations (And Undivided Liability for Independent Trespass by Each Named Party) 7 of 8

So therefore, through this Notice, this Bill is formally transmitted to each of the 10 parties named earlier in this Notice or enumerated earlier in this Notice to apprise them of their liabilities and fiduciary responsibilities, and to help them prepare for immediately starting to pay off the accumulated liabilities as enumerated earlier.

# 8. The Public Notices page and the Ledger of Record (™) numbers for this particular Notice.

So the ledger of record, a number for this notice depends on which segment of the ledger of record it's gonna appear in. So the Notice for the Owner and the Property Manager and the Chigbu law firm as well as the attorneys Christine Chigbu and Pritpal Singh is **OWN-014**.

For the forum and all of the entities and people involved in the forum that were listed earlier explicitly, it is **FRM-013** and for enforcement, it is **ENF-007**.

9. Now this Notice shall be published on the Ledger of Record(™) for this matter on the Public Notices page in accordance with the specifications already well specified in the *July 30th Declaration* that's docketed with the forum.

Now, I should add that while the enforcement or Sheriff's office of Alameda County has not yet accumulated liability for these particular acts, this notice is still published to them so that to apprise them and make them aware of the liability that they will be agreeing to by taking absolutely any action relative to any one or more of the 58 occupants of this property, particularly as any and all actions by the Sheriff's Department or the Sheriff's Office in this matter will be detrimental to the very survival and the life interests of all of the occupants.

**So with this, I bring this notice to a formal close.** The time now is 11.06 PM on the 7th of September, 2025. The amounts are all ledgered. The ledgers are operating in parallel for each of the 58 occupants, and they are running in parallel for each of the 10 parties named that are *each individually and independently responsible* for each act of trespass that is committed against the occupant.

Also, **every action** that is taken to counteract or deal with or address an act of trespass is an action that is countable in the ledger of all of the 58 occupants, because that action, independently, is needed by each occupant in order to address the trespass or multiple acts of trespass that have been committed against that particular occupant. So that is another point of clarity I wanted to make sure is documented here.

With that, I thank you all. Thank you very much. This Notice comes to a formal close and shall be put up on the Public Notices page as per the Ledger of Record ( $^{TM}$ ).

Thank you.